



*HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024*

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

WEB COPY

**DATED : 01.07.2025**

**CORAM:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA  
and**

**THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA**

**HABEAS CORPUS PETITION(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

Sekar @ Usilai Sekar

... Petitioner

VS.

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai -9.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate  
O/ o the District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Theni District.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Madurai Central Prison,  
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2, in Detention Order No.61/2024, dated 27.09.2024 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu by name Sekar @ Usilai Sekar, Son of Lakshmana Devar, aged about 54 years, now detained as “Drug Offender” at Madurai Central Prison, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.



**HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

For Petitioner : Dr.R. Alagumani

For Respondents : Mr.S. Ravi  
Additional Public Prosecutor

WEB COPY

## **ORDER**

[Order of the Court was made by **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**]

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Sekar @ Usilai Sekar, Son of Lakshmana Devar, aged about 54 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his Detention order in Detention Order No.61 of 2024, dated 27.09.2024, holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this habeas corpus petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several points have been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is stated that the Judicial order of remand was not furnished to the detenu. Hence, it is submitted that the detenu was deprived of making effective representation.



**HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

WEB COPY

4. On a perusal of the Booklet, it is seen that the order of initial remand was neither placed before the detaining authority or furnished to the detenu. The non furnishing of initial order of remand would deprive the detenu of making effective representation to the authorities against the order of detention.

5. In this context, it is useful to refer to the Judgment of the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of ***Powanammal vs. State of Tamil Nadu***, reported in ***(1999) 2 SCC 413***, wherein the Apex Court, after discussing the safeguards embodied in Article 22(5) of the Constitution of India, observed that the detenu should be afforded an opportunity of making a representation effectively against the detention order and that, the failure to supply every material in the language which can be understood by the detenu, is imperative. The relevant portion of the said decision is extracted hereunder:

*"9. However, this Court has maintained a distinction between a document which has been relied upon by the detaining authority in the grounds of detention and a document which finds a mere reference in the grounds of detention. Whereas the non-supply of a copy of the document relied upon in the grounds of detention has been held to be fatal to continued detention,*



WEB COPY



**HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

*the detenu need not show that any prejudice is caused to him. This is because the non-supply of such a document would amount to denial of the right of being communicated the grounds and of being afforded the opportunity of making an effective representation against the order. But it would not be so where the document merely finds a reference in the order of detention or among the grounds thereof. In such a case, the detenu's complaint of non-supply of document has to be supported by prejudice caused to him in making an effective representation. What applies to a document would equally apply to furnishing a translated copy of the document in the language known to and understood by the detenu, should the document be in a different language.*

...

...

*16. For the above reasons, in our view, the nonsupply of the Tamil version of the English document, on the facts and in the circumstances, renders her continued detention illegal. We, therefore, direct that the detenue be set free forthwith unless she is required to be detained in any other case. The appeal is accordingly allowed."*

**6. We find that the above cited *Powanammal*'s case applies in all**



**HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

force to the case on hand as we find that non-furnishing of the initial order of remand to the detenu, has impaired her constitutional right to make an effective representation against the impugned preventive detention order. To be noted, this constitutional right is ingrained in the form of a safeguard in Clause (5) of Article 22 of the Constitution of India. We, therefore, have no hesitation in quashing the impugned detention order.


7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention Order No.61 of 2024, dated 27.09.2024, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Sekar @ Usilai Sekar, Son of Lakshmana Devar aged about 54 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless her detention is required in connection with any other case.

**[A.D.J.C., J.]                      [R.P., J.]**  
**01.07.2025**

Index : Yes / No  
Neutral Citation : Yes / No  
trp

To:

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai -9.



***HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024***

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate  
O/o the District Collector and District Magistrate,  
Theni District.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,  
Madurai Central Prison,  
Madurai District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024*

**A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**

**AND**

**R.POORNIMA, J.**

trp

**ORDER MADE IN**  
**HCP(MD)No.1579 of 2024**

**DATED : 01.07.2025**