



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 16.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.30907 of 2024

and W.M.P(MD).No.25983 of 2024

S.Selvaraj

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by The Principal Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.
- 2.The Director,
The Directorate of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj,
Chennai 600 015.
- 3.The District Collector,
The Collectorate,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order in G.O.(2D) No. 35 dated 30.05.2024 and the consequential order in G.O.(2D) No.41 dated 31.05.2024 issued by the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal and to consequentially direct the 1st respondent to permit the petitioner to retire from service within the time stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.O.R.Gokul Abimanyu
For Respondents : Mr.S.Vinodh
Government Advocate

ORDER

The Petitioner, who was serving as Personal Assistant to the District Collector of Virudhunagar District, was placed under suspension vide G.O. (2D).No.35, Rural Development Panchayat Raj Department, dated 30.05.2024, on the contemplation of serious charges. However, no charge memo has been issued to the petitioner till date.

2. This writ petition was entertained by this Court on 19.12.2024. When this writ petition was taken up for hearing today i.e., 16.12.2025, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents submits that the petitioner died on 30.11.2025. He also confirmed that no charge memo has been given to the petitioner.

3. Since the petitioner died and no charge memo has been issued as against him, nothing is to be adjudicated in this writ petition. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is closed. The petitioner was not allowed to retire on attaining the



age of superannuation and issued with the impugned suspension order. Though the suspension order was issued in the year 2024, he was not issued with any charge memo and therefore, the respondents are directed to disburse the retirement benefits due to the petitioner to his legal heirs. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is also closed.

16.12.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Rmk

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Director,
The Directorate of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj,
Chennai 600 015.
3. The District Collector,
The Collectorate,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



4

B.PUGALENDHI,J.

Rmk

WP(MD)No.30907 of 2024

16.12.2025