



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.12.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

CRL OP(MD)No.24026 of 2025

1.Annadurai
2.Dharmar @ Tharmaraj
3.Shanmugam
4.Rajendhiran @ Rajendran ... Petitioners

Vs

State of TamilNadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Nangavaram Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.288 of 2025) ... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Thiyagarajan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.288 of 2025
on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 296(b), 329(3), 324(5) & 351(3) of BNS r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.288 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and his family members carrying on agricultural activities in their lands. The patta relating to the said lands stands jointly in his name and in the names of other co-sharers. The petitioners entered into the dispute with the defacto complainant, claiming pathway rights over the above said lands, due to which, the defacto complainant's father has filed a civil suit. The Civil Court



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

decreed the suit in favour of the defacto complainant. While so, on 21.10.2025 at about 1.00 p.m., the petitioners trespassed into defacto complainant's lands and attacked him with deadly weapons and unlawfully cut several trees and created a pathway and when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant and his wife, the petitioners abused them in filthy language and criminally intimidated them with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that due to a land dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the defacto-complainant lodged a false complaint against the petitioners. The petitioners had not involved in any of the offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners are innocent and they are falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that due to land dispute between the defacto-complainant and the petitioners, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. Further he would submit that there is no injured and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. However, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

5. Considering the facts and circumstance of this case, the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners and no one sustained injury, this Court is inclined to grant an order of anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Kulithalai, Karur District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30a.m.until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS 2023.

(M J R J)
26.12.2025

Sn/indu

TO

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.II,
Kulithalai, Karur District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Nangavaram Police Station,
Karur District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

6/7



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.24026 of 2025

M. JOTHIRAMAN, J

sn

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.24026 of 2025

Dated : 26.12.2025