



W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 26.12.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD) No.29308 of 2025

1.V.Gunasekar

2.V.Palaniappan

3.P.Amirthalingam

4.C.Vellai

5.V.Velladurai

6.P.Vellaiyan @ Vellai

... Petitioners

-vs-

1.The Tahsildar
Marungapuri Taluk
Marungapuri
Trichy District

2.The Revenue Inspector
Marungapuri Part
Manapparai Taluk
Trichy District

... Respondents



W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

WEB C

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorari calling for the records relating to the common impugned notice issued by the second respondent dated 10.10.2025 served on the petitioners on 13.12.2025 under Section – 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (Madras Act-III of the 1905) and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Prahalad Ravi

For Respondents : Mr.P.Subbaraj
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by P.VELMURUGAN, J.]

This writ petition has been filed challenging the notice, dated 10.10.2025, issued by the second respondent, under Section 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (in short, “the Act”), calling upon the petitioners to show cause, as to why the encroachments made by them in the subject land shall not be removed, on or before 27.12.2025.

2. At the outset, it is to be noted that the impugned notice is only a show cause notice, thereby, the petitioners have been asked to submit their explanation as to why the encroachments made by them in the subject land should not be removed. However, without giving any reply to the said notice,



W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

WEB CUM

challenging the said notice, the petitioners have filed the present writ petition.

Further, it is to be noted that after the petitioners submitting their explanation, the respondents will consider the same and if the respondents are not satisfied with the explanation submitted by the petitioner, they will pass orders under Section 6 of the Act and if the petitioners are aggrieved by the said order, the petitioners are having appeal remedy under Section 10 of the Act. Therefore, at this stage, the petitioners are not entitled to file a writ petition challenging the impugned notice issued under Section 7 of the Act. The petitioners are at liberty to work out their remedy in the manner known to law.

3. With the above observations, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[P.V., J.] [M.J.R., J.]

26.12.2025

(2/2)

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk

Page 3 of 5



W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

WEB COPY

To:

- 1.The Tahsildar,
Marungapuri Taluk,
Marungapuri,
Trichy District.
- 2.The Revenue Inspector,
Marungapuri Part,
Manapparai Taluk,
Trichy District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J.
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.36864 of 2025
and
W.M.P.(MD) No.29308 of 2025

26.12.2025

(2/2)