



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

T.Selvarani

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation,
Madurai Region, Corporate Office,
Madurai-625016.

2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai-600 002.

3.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Secretary to Government,
Finance (Pension) Department,
Secretariate, Fort St.George,
Chennai-600009.

...Respondents



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to pay the interest at the rate of 6% per annum for the belated settlement of petitioner's husband Late.Thangavel's retirement benefits namely EPF Employee's Contribution, Gratuity and leave salary for the period from 31.05.2021 to 13.03.2023 based on the representation of the petitioner dated 08.11.2025 within the time limit that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sarvagan Prabhu

For Respondents : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh,
Standing Counsel.

ORDER

Seeking interest for the belated payment of terminal and pension benefits of the petitioner's husband, the petitioner has approached this Court.



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

WEB COPY

2.The case of the petitioner is that her husband joined as a Conductor in the respondent Transport Corporation on 01.04.1990. His actual retirement is on 30.04.2024, however, he died on 18.05.2021. His retirement benefits were settled to the petitioner only on 13.03.2023. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondent is liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation dated 08.11.2025 to the respondents, however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.

3.Mr.S.C.Herold Singh, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondents and submit that the terminal benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however, belatedly.

4.By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6.The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in *S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana* reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7.Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not bleated payment,



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

WEB COPY

the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest.

The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment."

8.Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

19.12.2025

NCC: Yes/No

Index:Yes/No

Internet:Yes

gns



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation,
Madurai Region, Corporate Office,
Madurai-625016.

2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai-600 002.

3.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by Secretary to Government,
Finance (Pension) Department,
Secretariate, Fort St.George,
Chennai-600009.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gns

W.P(MD)No.36647 of 2025

19.12.2025