



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : **19.12.2025**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

Chinnaraja

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu Rep. by its,
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Virusvedu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.95 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to direct the second respondent Police to complete the investigation and file the final report in Crime No.95 of 2025 on the file of the second respondent Police within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Rameshbabu

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

ORDER

Preface:

The advent of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 marks a constitutional transformation in India's criminal jurisprudence. For the first time since Independence, the criminal process has been consciously re-engineered to be victim-centric, citizen-responsive and justice-oriented, in contrast to the colonial enactments which were historically designed to subserve the interests of an imperial administration and to control its subjects.

2.The new Codes emphasise timeliness, transparency, accountability, and proportionality, recognising delay as a denial of justice. In particular, the BNSS, 2023, by codifying strict timelines for investigation in Section 193, ensures that the investigating agency cannot indefinitely keep the sword of uncertainty hanging over the head of an accused or allow victims to languish without closure. It is in this mandate of constitutional justice that the present petition deserves to be examined.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to file a final report with respect to the Crime No.95 of 2025.

Submissions:

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the FIR was registered on 17.07.2025, no final report has been filed till date. Such delay is contrary to the statutory mandate under Section 193 BNSS, which prescribes time-bound investigation. It is therefore prayed that necessary directions be issued.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submits that the investigation is in progress and the investigating officer shall abide by any time-line fixed by this Court.

6. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.



Analysis:

WEB COPY

7. The BNSS has introduced a strict regime of time-bound investigation, which reads as follows:

(i) Section 193(1) BNSS mandates that every investigation under this Sanhita shall be completed without unnecessary delay. (ii) Section 193(2) BNSS mandates that in relation to offences punishable with imprisonment of seven years or more, the investigation shall ordinarily be completed within ninety days; and in all other offences, within sixty days. (iii) Section 193(3) BNSS, mandates that if the investigation is not completed within the period prescribed, the investigating officer shall record the reasons in writing and intimate the Magistrate of such delay.

8. The statute therefore creates (i) a positive duty to complete investigation promptly; (ii) outer time limits; and (iii) a compulsory accountability mechanism for delay. These provisions are mandatory in nature and bind the investigating agency.

9. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is cultivating coconut saplings in his land comprised in S.No.1689/1A, 1B, 1C and 1697/1 measuring



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

an extent of 3 acres 60 cents. In the year 2023, A1 approached the petitioner and he would get an agricultural loan of Rs.30,00,000/- from A2 bank and the same was repaid within three years. But, the petitioner has to pay 10% commission to A1 and A2. Believing their words, the petitioner produced all the documents and transferred a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- to A1. Thereafter, the petitioner received only Rs.5,00,000/- as loan amount from the A2 bank. When the same was questioned, A1 demanded commission amount. Thereafter, the petitioner came to know that A1 and A2 cheated the petitioner. Hence, a case has been registered. In view of the above, this Court notes that the FIR in the present case was registered on 17.07.2025 and the investigation has not culminated in a final report even after the lapse of the period contemplated under Section 193(2) BNSS. No material is placed before this Court to show that the reasons for delay were recorded in writing or that the same was intimated to the jurisdictional Magistrate as required under Section 193(3) BNSS.

10. Such unexplained delay defeats the very purpose of the reformed statutory architecture, which mandates expeditious investigation so that the criminal process becomes an instrument of justice rather than a prolonged ordeal.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

WEB COPY

11. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to issue appropriate directions.

12. In the light of the above statutory mandate and taking into account the facts and submissions, the following directions are issued:

(i) The second respondent police is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.95 of 2025 and file a final report before the jurisdictional Court within a period of six (6) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) If for any legally acceptable reason the investigation cannot be completed within the above period, the investigating officer shall strictly comply with Section 193(3) BNSS by recording reasons in writing and placing the same before the jurisdictional Magistrate without fail.

(iii) The petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedies in accordance with law if the above directions are not complied with.

Epilogue:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

13. The BNSS, 2023 embodies a shift from a punitive colonial framework to a justice-centric democratic framework. Timely investigation is the first guarantee of fairness to both victim and accused. This Court expects diligent adherence to statutory timelines by the investigating agency.

14. The Criminal Original Petition is accordingly disposed of with the above directions.

19.12.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
vsg

TO:-

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Virusvedu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

vsg

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23489 of 2025

Dated
19.12.2025