



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 19.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

1. Kandasamy
2. Kesavan
3. Umadevi
4. Saravanadevi

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Melur,
Madurai District.
Crime No.360/2016.

2. Menaga
3. Anand

... Respondents

PRAYER :- This Petition is filed under Section 528 BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.360 of 2016, on the file of the respondent police Melur and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mahendra Pathy

For R1 : Mr.S.Kottaichamy
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed seeking to quash the first Information Report in Crime No.360 of 2016, on the file of the first respondent Police, which was registered for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118 (1), 351(2) / (3) of BNS and corresponding offences under Section 294(b), 323, 324 and 506 (ii) of IPC.

2. The gist of the allegations is that when the de facto complainant was standing before her house, due to previous enmity a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners. On hearing the noise, the de facto complainant's son immediately came out of the house and at that time the petitioners attacked the de facto complainant and her son with wooden log and further threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the present complaint.

3. Admittedly, the petitioners and the second respondent are residing in the same village and they have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 18.12.2025.



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

4. The petitioners and the second respondent / defacto complainant are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.A.Jeya Kumar, Sub Inspector of Police, B1 Melur Police Station, Melur. The defacto complainant does not wish to pursue the said F.I.R as against the petitioner. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5.Considering the nature of the allegations and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No.360 of 2016 is quashed in entirety and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. The petitioners are directed to produce a demand draft of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) in favour of the victim, within a period of one week from today, failing which, the criminal original petition stands automatically dismissed. The joint compromise memo dated 18.12.2025 shall form part and parcel of the order.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

6.The petitioners are directed to file a memo along with the photocopy of the receipt before the Registry on or before **12.01.2026**.

List the matter on **20.01.2026, for reporting compliance.**

19.12.2025
(2/2)

Index: Yes/ No
Neutral Citation: Yes / No
Speaking Order / Non-Speaking Order
rgm



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Melur,
Madurai District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
3. The Officer in-charge,
Mediation and Conciliation Centre
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI,J

rgm

CRL OP(MD). No.23609 of 2025

19.12.2025
(2/2)