



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 18.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.36186 of 2025

R.Kennady

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Transport Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District.
3. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District.
4. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

... Respondents



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to settle the interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits such as Gratuity, Earned Leave, Commutation of Pension, Provident fund and other retirement benefits payable to the petitioner with 6% rate of interest within the time frame that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Sudhagar Nagaraj
For R1 : Mr.G.V.Vairam Santhosh,
Additional Government Pleader
For R2 and R3 : Mr.K.Ramaiah

ORDER

The petitioner was appointed as Driver in the Transport Corporation on 21.07.1995 and after rendering 23 years of service, he retired from service as Selection Grade Driver on 31.07.2023. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity, Commutation of Pension and Leave Salary due to the petitioner were settled only on 25.08.2025. Seeking interest for the belated payment, the petitioner gave a representation to the respondents on 02.09.2025, however, it was not considered by the respondents. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

2. Mr.K.Ramaiah, learned counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the 2nd and 3rd respondents, submits that the terminal benefits have been settled to the petitioner, however belatedly.

3. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

4. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

5. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in **2008 (3) SCC 44**, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of



interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

6. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

7. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is allowed with a direction to the respondents to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits of petitioner at the rate of 6% per annum within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

18.12.2025

ogy

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.

NCC : Yes / No.

5/7



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

To

1. The Secretary,
Transport Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District.
3. The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Kumbakonam,
Thanjavur District.
4. The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.36186 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

WP(MD) No.36186 of 2025

18.12.2025