



CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 17/12/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025

Arumugathammal @ Arumugam,

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep by the Inspector of Police,  
Koodakovil Police Station,  
Madurai District.  
Crime No.135/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muniyandi,  
For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.135 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

1/7



CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3), 324(4), 303 of BNS correspond Section 448, 341, 294(b), 323, 506(ii), 427, 378 of IPC and section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.135 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant tried to get electricity connection from the TANGEDCO and the petitioner objected to give electricity connection. On 04.10.2025, the petitioner and her son went to the defacto complainant's house and abused her in filthy language and also attacked her. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit some amount to the credit of crime number. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2/7



**CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025**

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the petitioner was tried to disconnect the electricity service connection granted to the defacto complainant. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner and the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit some amount to the credit of crime number, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the



CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025

satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b ) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.135 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam. On such deposit, the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.135 of 2025. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025

(d) the Electricity Officials shall take action against the petitioner

(e) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(h) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269

(S S Y J)  
17.12.2025

TTA



**CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025**

TO  
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,  
Koodakovil Police Station,  
Madurai District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



**CRL OP(MD). No.23189 of 2025**

**S.SRIMATHY,J**

TTA

**ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.23189 of 2025**

**Date : 17/12/2025**