



CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.12.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

Suresh @ Sureshkannan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep.By, the Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.155 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Sureshkumar
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in S.C.No.160 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Court, Sivagangai, in Crime No.155 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.04.2025 the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 109(1), 103(2), of BNS 2023 @ 191((2). 191(3), 126(2), 109(1), 103(2), 61(2) & 3(5) of BNS 2023, in S.C.No.160 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Court, Sivagangai, in Crime No.155 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a previous enmity between the father of the 1st accused and one Prakash, as a result of which, the said Prakash and his henchmen have murdered the father of the 1st accused. In retaliation, the petitioner along with other accused persons have murdered the son of the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent persons and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is



CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

not present in the scene of occurrence and he is a friend of A1. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court and he is in judicial custody from 02.04.2025. Hence, he seek bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the video depicting the entire scene of occurrence had already been circulated before this Court and that the trial had commenced; therefore, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and upon perusal of the video circulated by the prosecution, it prima facie appears that the petitioner has committed a serious offence. If the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond the same. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(S S Y J)
16.12.2025

msrm

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Additional District Court,
Sivagangai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23058 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J.

msrm

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.23058 of 2025

Date : 16.12.2025