



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15/12/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) . No.23012 of 2025

1. Karthick

2. Muthupandi

... Petitioners/A2 & A4

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu, rep, by,
The Inspector of Police
Meignanapuram Police station,
Thoothukudi District.
[Crime No.122 of 2025]

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M.S.Jeyakarthik,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-6B.For Bail in Crime No.122/2025 on the file
of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 296[b], 109 & 103[1] of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.122 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the defacto complainant's brother murdered the petitioner's relative on Kanthaiah and on revenge, the petitioner and other accused murdered the defacto complainant's brother. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent person and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are in judicial custody from 10.10.2025. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have no previous cases. He further submitted that it is a case of retaliation murder and A1 and A3 were arrested under Act 14 of 1982. However, he strongly opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and it is a case of retaliation murder, and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, and on further conditions that :-

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall stay at Villupuram and report before the Inspector of Police, Villupuram Town Police Station, Villupuram, daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

WEB COPY

down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR
SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds,
a fresh FIR can be registered under Section
269 BNS.

15.12.2025

PJL

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam.
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tuticorin District.
3. The Superintendent,
District Prison, Perurani, Thoothukudi District.
4. The Inspector of Police
Meignanapuram Police station,
Thoothukudi District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.23012 of 2025

S . SRIMATHY , J

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.23012 of 2025

Date : 15/12/2025