



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/12/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP (MD) . No.22836 of 2025

1. Ramarselvan
2. Mariappan
3. Kannan
4. Kumarasamy
5. Kulasekarapandi
6. Valliammal @ Vally
7. Ramar
8. Thangarasa @ Thangaraj
9. Vaigundaramar
10. Jeyaraj @ Anandharaj
11. Chinnadurai
12. Muppudathimuthu
13. Esakkimuthu
14. Parthiban
15. Ajith Murugan @ Murugan

.. Petitioners

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Pavoorchatram Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
Crime No.506/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : B.Anandan,
Advocate.



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
506/2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 131, 324(4), 351(3) of BNS, and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No. 506 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is claiming as an administrator of Sudalai Madasamy Sri Sakthi Pothi Madasamy temple belonging to Sapani Madasamy family. The petitioners along with other accused persons have installed CCTV cameras illegally by using temple EB connection, when



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, they abused the defacto complainant and his family members in filthy language and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the mediation is being conducted by the police and also another mediation has been conducted. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondents submitted that there is no damage or no injury occurred. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with single surety each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at**



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

[g] If the private mediation is not succeeded, the petitioners are at liberty



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

to approach the civil Court to work out
their remedy in the manner known to law.

16.12.2025

PJL

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi.
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tenkasi District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Pavoorchatram Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22836 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.22836 of 2025

Date : 16/12/2025