



WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 15/12/2025

CORAM

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

**WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025
and WMP(MD) Nos.28285 and 28287 of 2025**

Nageshwari

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Chairman / District Judge,
The District Legal Services Authority,
Theni, Theni District..

2. The Chair Person,
The Permanent Lok Adalat,
Theni, Theni District..

3. The Tahsildar,
Periyakulam Taluk,
Theni District..

4. The Special Officer / Block Development Officer,
Melmangalam Panchayat,
Periyakulam Panchayat Union,
Theni District..

5. Lakshmi,
W/o.Late.Selvakumar
12th Ward, Ammapatti Street,
Melmangalam, Periyakulam Taluk,
Theni District..



WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025

6. Rajeshwari,
W/o.Chellaraja,
12th Ward, Ammapatti Street,
Melmangalam, Periyakulam Taluk,
Theni District..

7. Chellaraja,
S/o.Vellaichamy,
12th Ward, Ammapatti Street,
Melmangalam,
Periyakulam Taluk,
Theni District..

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records of the impugned Lok Adalat Award bearing PLA/B/No.12 of 2025 dated 09.09.2025 on the file of the 2nd Respondent and quash the same on the ground that the same is arbitrary, illegal and without any legal basis and consequently direct the 2nd Respondent to relegate the parties to Competent Civil Court concern.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Shankar Ganesh
For Respondents : Ms.S.Jeyapriya for R1 to R3
Government Advocate
Mr.R.Ragavendran for R4
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the award passed in Lok Adalat Award bearing PLA/B/No.12 of 2025 dated 09.09.2025 on



WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025

the file of the 2nd respondent on the ground that the same is arbitrary, illegal and without any legal basis and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to relegate the parties to Competent Civil Court concern.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents. By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself. Since no adverse orders are going to be passed against the private respondents, notice is dispensed with.

3. The petitioner has challenged the award passed in the Lok Adalat. He would submit that no compromise is reached before the lok adalat.

4. The learned Government Advocate would however submit that the writ petition is not at all maintainable as the award passed by the Lok Adalat cannot be challenged before the writ jurisdiction by invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India. She would further submit that challenging the said award, one of the party has approached the civil



WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025

Court by filing a suit.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the submission made on either side, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition. It is open to the petitioner to challenge the award in the manner known to law. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

15.12.2025

RR

TO

1. The Chairman / District Judge,
The District Legal Services Authority,
Theni, Theni District..

2. The Chair Person,
The Permanent Lok Adalat,
Theni, Theni District..

3. The Tahsildar,
Periyakulam Taluk,
Theni District..

4. The Special Officer / Block Development Officer,
Melmangalam Panchayat,
Periyakulam Panchayat Union,
Theni District..

4/5



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.35687 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J

RR

**ORDER
IN
WP(MD) No.35687 of 2025**

Date : 15/12/2025