



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.12.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.22592 of 2025

1.Mullaiventhan
2.Logeshwaran
3.Karangokul
4.Ranjith Kumar
5.Mugesh Kannan
6.KaralMarks
7.Jeyasuriya
8.Mathan Kumar
9.Rokesh
10.Kulamurugan

... Petitioners /Accused
Rank Not Known

Versus

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Chatrakudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.136 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Rajiv Gandhi,
Advocate



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.136 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2) and 296(b) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3 and 5 of the TNPPDL Act, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 03.11.2025 at about 10.00 p.m., while the de facto complainant was driving his car from Rameshwaram to Madurai, near Chatrakudi Ayyangay Baker, more than ten persons, owing to a previous dispute relating to the Sivagangai District Ilamanur incident, allegedly blocked the highway road, used filthy language, assaulted him with bricks and wooden sticks, and fled from the scene. Hence, the complaint.

2/7



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police, submitted that the petitioners are arrayed as A2, A3, A6, A9, A12 to A16, and A18, respectively. He further submitted that A2 has five previous cases, A3, A6, and A14 have four previous cases each and A13, A15, and A16 have no previous cases. Therefore, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the antecedents of the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, this Petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance,



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall make a non-refundable deposit of a sum of Rs.5,000/-(Rupees Five thousand only) each to the credit of Headmaster, Government Higher Secondary School, Odaipatty, Theni District, having account in State Bank of India, Uthamapalayam Branch, South Car Street, Account No. 11268003539, Account Type:Regular Savings Bank Account, CIF No:81030919752, MICR Code:625002045, without prejudice to their contentions and rights before the Trial Court, and on such deposit being made, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioners;



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

WEB COPY

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. They have to co-operate for the investigation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and

(g) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS 2023.

(S S Y J)
10.12.2025

pal

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chatrakudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22592 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J.

pal

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22592 of 2025

Date : 10.12.2025