



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05.12.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

Rani Natchiyar

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By, The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar CBCID Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.02 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No.02 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.02 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running the business under the name and style of 'Thamaraiselvi Body Building of Vehicles' at Vembakottai. Accused No.1 in this case has approached him and introduced herself as the founder of a trust, viz., Niramani Trust and Accused Nos.2 and 3 as the founders of a PSK Trust and a Nanbargal Uthavi Karangal Trust respectively. The petitioner and other accused cheated the defacto complainant and collected a sum of Rs.1,30,00,000/- in various dates from his relatives and friends. Thereafter, the petitioner and other accused persons allegedly cheated the defacto complainant by failing to repay the said amount. Hence, the complaint.



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and that she has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. The petitioner is Accused No.1 in this case. Accused Nos.4 and 8 were already granted anticipatory bail on condition of deposit of a portion of the amount and therefore, considering the same, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar, and on further conditions that :-



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs only) to the credit of Crime No.2 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar, at the time of producing the sureties. On such deposit, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner. The petitioner is further directed to deposit the remaining amount of Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs only) to the credit of Crime No.2 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar on or before 31st January, 2026. After receipt of the said amounts, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall deposit the said amounts in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(S S Y J)
05.12.2025

TSG



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar
2. The Superintendent, Special Prison for Women, Madurai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar CBCID Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22338 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J.

TSG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22338 of 2025

Date : 05.12.2025