



CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.12.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

1.Anish

2.Ponraj

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.4 & 7

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By, The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.1203 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Aravinthan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.1203 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 189 (2), 126 (2), 296 (b), 115 (2), 118 (1), 351 (3) and 49 of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 3 of TN PPD Act, 1992 in Crime No.1203 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners along with other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant with iron pipes and damaged the defacto complainant's bike. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and that they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court and also submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital . Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-Police submitted that there are four victims, out of which three have been discharged from the hospital, and one victim has underwent surgery and is now taking treatment in the hospital. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed in the interim bail regularly and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the interim bail already granted is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[b] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(S S Y J)
18.12.2025

tta

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Valliyur, Tirunelveli.
2. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22341 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J.

tta

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22341 of 2025

Date : 18.12.2025