



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04.12.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

Nagamuthu @ Nangamuthu

. Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu, Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
CCW Tenkasi Police Station,
Ccd III, Tenkasi District,
Crime No.44/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Subramanian
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E. Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For intervenor : M/s.S. Ragaventhree

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.44/2025 on the file of the respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 308(7), 318(4) of BNS, 2023 (342, 389, 420, 470 of IPC) r/w. 66 C & D of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 INF C in Crime No.44 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had threatened the defacto complainant through phone by saying that he was involved in offences like abduction along with Sadakathkhan and he was digitally arrested and hence by fearing the words of the accused persons, the defacto complainant sent Rs. 30,00,000/- to the ICICI bank account fo one Thayanayak who is the one of the accused. Thereafter, it came to the knowledge of the defacto complainant, the accused persons had cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that co-accused had already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.OP(MD).No.20818 of 2025 dated 24.11.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 23.10.2025. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the investigation of the case is pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the Intervenor/defacto complainant submitted that the petitioner along with other accused persons had cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- to the credit of crime number and also considering the period



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, with other conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Sankarankoil, Tenkasi District and on further conditions that :-

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

(b)the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) to the credit of Crime No.44 of 2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Sankarankovil, Tenkasi District. On such deposit, the said Magistrate, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner and also directed to deposit the amount, in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

passed in the case in Crime No.44 of 2025. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final order/Judgment.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(S S Y J)
04.12.2025

msrm

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Sankarankoil, Tenkasi District
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tenkasi District.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
4. The Inspector of Police, CCW Tenkasi
Police Station,, CCC III, Tenkasi District,
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.22252 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22252 of 2025

Date : 04.12.2025