



W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **10.12.2025**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **DR.JUSTICE G. JAYACHANDRAN**
AND
THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025
and
C.M.P.(MD)Nos.19649 and 16950 of 2025

Kasirajan ... Appellant in W.A.(MD)No.
3198/2025

Baskara Sethupathi ... Appellant in W.A.(MD)No.
3199/2025

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
4th floor, CMDA Building Tower II,
Ganthi Irwin Bridge Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

2.The Senior Regional Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
No.100, Anna Nagar, Madurai-20.

3.The District Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
TASMAC, Ramanathapuram District. ... Respondents

COMMON PRAYER:- Writ Appeal – filed under Clause 15 of the
Letters Patent, to set aside the order passed in W.P.(MD)Nos.25018 and
25019 of 2025 dated 03.11.2025 and allow the writ appeal.



WEB COPY



W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

In both petitions:

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Gangai Amaran
For Respondents : Mr.S.Sivanesan

COMMON JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by **DR.G.JAYACHANDRAN, J.**)

The appellants /writ petitioners herein are the TASMAL salesmen and were suspended on 28.08.2025 on the allegation that they are collecting Rs.10 for bottle, over and above the price fixed. The suspension orders were challenged by the writ petitioners in W.P. (MD)No.25018 of 2025 and W.P.(MD)No.25019 of 2025. The said writ petitions were dismissed by the learned Single Judge vide common order dated 03.11.2025. Being aggrieved by the said order, writ petitioners have filed the present writ appeals on the ground that the writ petitioners were suspended on presumption and on the basis of collective responsibility.

2.The learned counsel for the appellants/writ petitioners submits that the appellants are ready to cooperate with the enquiry, but the suspension order has put them under great prejudice. It is contended that the explanation given by them on 28.08.2025 was not properly considered before passing the suspension order.



W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

3.The learned counsel for the appellants further submits that after filing of the instant writ appeals, the suspension order had been revoked and the appellants have joined duty. However, they are aggrieved by certain observations made by the learned Single Judge while disposing the writ petitions, as the said observations will cause prejudice to them in the departmental enquiry.

4.Taking note of the said submission, we dispose of these writ appeals with a direction that the enquiry be commenced and completed within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is also made clear that any observations, made by the writ Court in the writ petition, shall not prejudice the enquiry officer in any manner while deciding the proposed charge sheet against the appellants. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs.

[G.J., J.] & [K.K.R.K., J.]
10.12.2025

Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes
ta



W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
4th floor, CMDA Building Tower II,
Ganthi Irwin Bridge Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.
- 2.The Senior Regional Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
No.100, Anna Nagar, Madurai-20.
- 3.The District Manager,
Tamilnadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd.,
TASMAC, Ramanathapuram District.



WEB COPY



W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

DR.G. JAYACHANDRAN, J.
AND
K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

ta

W.A.(MD)Nos.3198 and 3199 of 2025

10.12.2025