



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 01.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

R.Selvakumarasamy

... Petitioner

Vs

The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation(Cbe) Ltd.,
No.37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore - 641 043.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to pay interest at the rate of 6% per annum to petitioner for the belated payment of Gratuity, Provident Fund and Leave Salary from the date of retirement to the date of actual payment within a time frame as may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mrs.D.Ramya
For Respondent : Mr.K.Ramaiah
Standing Counsel



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

ORDER

This writ petition is filed for a Mandamus, directing the respondent to pay interest to the petitioner at the rate of 6% per annum for the belated payment Gratuity, Provident Fund and Leave Salary from the date of his retirement to the date of actual payment, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he joined as a Conductor in the respondent Transport Corporation on 07.12.1993 and he retired from service as Special Grade Conductor on 30.04.2024. However, the retirement benefits, namely, Provident Fund, Gratuity and Leave Salary were settled to him only on 25.08.2025. Since the benefits have been settled belatedly, the respondent is liable to pay interest for the belated payment. Therefore, the petitioner gave a representation dated 12.11.2025 to the respondent, however, the same did not evoke any response. Therefore, the petitioner has filed this writ petition for the above said relief.



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. Mr.K.Ramaiah, learned Standing Counsel, takes notice on behalf of the respondents and fairly admits that in similar writ petitions, this Court has ordered for payment of interest at the rate of 6% p.a. for the belated payment of retirement benefits and therefore, the petitioner is also entitled for the same relief.

4. By consent of both the parties, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing at the admission stage itself.

5. This Court paid its anxious consideration to the rival submissions made and also perused the materials placed on record.

6. The employer is liable to settle the retirement benefits to its employees without any delay and in case, if it is settled belatedly, it has to be compensated by way of interest for the belated payment. In this regard, the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***S.K.Dua vs. State of Haryana*** reported in ***2008 (3) SCC 44***, has held as follows:

“14. In the circumstances, prima facie, we are of



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

the view that the grievance voiced by the appellant appears to be well founded that he would be entitled to interest on such benefits. If there are statutory rules occupying the field, the appellant could claim payment of interest relying on such rules. If there are administrative instructions, guidelines or norms prescribed for the purpose, the appellant may claim benefit of interest on that basis. But even in absence of statutory rules, administrative instructions or guidelines, an employee can claim interest under Part III of the Constitution relying on Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution. The submission of the learned counsel for the appellant, that retiral benefits are not in the nature of “bounty” is, in our opinion well founded and needs no authority in support thereof. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion, the High Court was not right in dismissing the petition in living even without issuing notice to the respondents.”

7. Following the same, in a similar issue, a Division Bench of this Court, in W.A.(MD)No.403 of 2010, etc. batch, vide common order dated 04.07.2014, has fixed the rate of interest at 6% per annum and held as under:-



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

“5. even though there is no provision in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees Pension Fund for payment of interest, cannot stand in the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in S.K.Dua v. State of Haryana and another, reported in (2008) 3 SCC 44. As a matter of fact, the Rules do not contemplate belated payment of retirement benefits. The Rules contemplate prompt payment. When the Rules contemplate prompt payment and not belated payment, the Rules will not contain a provision for payment of interest. The Pension Fund which was created as a Trust by the Corporation was supposed to act in trust for the employees' benefit. If the Trust could not make payments within the time stipulated, then, irrespective of whether there is any provision for payment of interest or not, the Corporation is obliged to make payment.”

8. Following the dictum laid down on this issue, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent/Transport Corporation to pay interest for the belated payment of retirement benefits at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement, within a



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

No costs.

01.12.2025

NCC: Yes/No

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes

vrn

To

The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation(Cbe) Ltd.,
No.37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore - 641 043.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.34775 of 2025

01.12.2025