



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

Vijayakumar

... Petitioner /Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.658 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Veerapandi,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.S.Abul Kalaam Azad,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

For Intervener : Mr.V.Selvakumar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.658 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 351(3) BNS and Section 4 of TBPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime No.658 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant, poured petrol on the bikes, set them on fire, damaged the vehicle and meter box and also threatened the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit on instructions that the petitioner undertakes to bear the cost of the damaged articles. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-Police would submit that there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the intervener opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the undertaking given by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Tirunelveli, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner is directed to rectify the damage caused to the front door of the house, tiles and meter box. The petitioner is further directed to compensate for the damage caused to the two-wheeler;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
28.11.2025

TSG

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thalaiyuthu Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

S.SRIMATHY, J.

TSG



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21835 of 2025

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21835 of 2025**

Date : 28.11.2025