



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 28.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

Sathishkumar

... Petitioner /Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By The Inspector of Police,
Orathanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.502 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Jameel Arasu,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.502 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.502 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the mother-in-law of the petitioner. The petitioner has already married the first daughter of the defacto complainant. However, the petitioner demanded that the defacto complainant give her third daughter in marriage to him for a second marriage. When the defacto complainant refused, a wordy quarrel arose between them, during which the petitioner allegedly caused simple injury to the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He would further submit that the petitioner has also consumed poison and now, he is taking treatment in the hospital. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-Police opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Orathanadu, Thanjavur District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Orathanadu, Thanjavur District, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not disturb or threaten the defacto complainant and her family; the petitioner shall not contact the third daughter of the defacto complainant; the petitioner shall not enter into the college of the third daughter of the defacto complainant and the petitioner shall not enter into the house of the defacto complainant;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
28.11.2025

TSG

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Orathanadu, Thanjavur District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Orathanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

S.SRIMATHY, J.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21792 of 2025

TSG

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21792 of 2025**

Date : 28.11.2025