



W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

G.Sethuraman

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Chief Educational Officer,
Office of the CEO,
Madurai District.
- 2.The District Educational Officer,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Block Educational Officer,
T.Kalluppatti Union,
Madurai District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.
- 5.The Village Administrative Officer,
Chinnachittulotti,
T.Kalluppatti Village,
Madurai District.



W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

6.The District Collector,
Madurai.

7.The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

***(R.6 & R.7 are suo motu impleaded
vide order of this Court dated 28.11.2025)***

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to consider and dispose of the Petitioner's detailed representations dated 05.07.2024, after conducting a proper joint survey of Survey Nos. 144/1 and 144/6, Chinnachittulotti Village, T.Kalluppatti Union, Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District, to identify the extent of the Petitioner's patta land encroached for the Government Middle School, and thereafter pass appropriate orders by either granting compensation for the encroached extent of about 22 cents in S.No.144/1 or, in the alternative, returning the 48 cents earlier donated by the Petitioner's father in S.No.144/6 within a time to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.Y.Prakash

For Respondents : Mr.P.T.Thiraviyam
Government Advocate



W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.I direct the fourth respondent to conduct survey and submit a report to the fifth respondent as to whether the school in question had been constructed on the gifted land or on the non-gifted land. If the results of the survey confirm the allegation of the writ petitioner, then the writ petitioner has to be necessarily provided with appropriate remedy. I stop here and I do not mention anything further.

5.The report of the Tahsildar shall be forwarded to the sixth respondent. It is for the sixth respondent to take a call on the writ petitioner's representation thereafter. Since till date, the writ petitioner has not submitted any representation to the sixth respondent, he may do so after the report of the Tahsildar is made available. I grant three months time for the Tahsildar to submit the report. Copy of the report shall be furnished to the writ petitioner also. If the Tahsildar report is in favour of the writ petitioner, the sixth respondent has to dispose of the writ petitioner's representation by passing a speaking order within a period of three months thereafter.



W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

6.This Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

WEB COPY

28.11.2025

2/2

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
MGA

To

- 1.The Chief Educational Officer,
Office of the CEO,
Madurai District.
- 2.The District Educational Officer,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Block Educational Officer,
T.Kalluppatti Union,
Madurai District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur Taluk,
Madurai District.
- 5.The Village Administrative Officer,
Chinnachittulotti,
T.Kalluppatti Village,
Madurai District.
- 6.The District Collector,
Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

MGA

7.The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai – 600 009.

W.P(MD)No.34639 of 2025

28.11.2025

2/2