



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21775 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.12.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.21775 of 2025

1. Arumugam
2. Kannayee
3. Manimegalai

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kottampatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.208 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthish

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.208 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21775 of 2025

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 49, 109(1) and 103(1) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.208 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant's husband died 3 years back and they have 2 sons, who are aged 7 years and 5 years. In these circumstances, the de-facto-complainant and deceased Sathis Kumar loved each other and it was objected by the de-facto-complainant's family members. Hence, the deceased lodged complaint before the police. Hence, on 16.08.2025, the respondent conducted an enquiry. After the enquiry, at about 10.30 PM, the de-facto-complainant and deceased returned to Trichy by bike. When they were going in Ayyapatti bridge, the accused persons came in a car and they dashed the de-facto-complainant and the deceased. Hence, the said Sathis kumar died in the said incident. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21775 of 2025

committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature.

5.This Court had already granted interim anticipatory bail and directed the petitioners to appear before the respondent. The 3rd respondent has taken a plea of alibi. However, the 3rd petitioner has taken leave on the said two days. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to extend the interim order and the same is vacated.

6. Taking into consideration the fact that it is a murder case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

15.12.2025

TMG

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21775 of 2025

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kottampatti Police Station,
Madurai District.

- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21775 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21775 of 2025

Date : 15.12.2025