



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD) NO.21682 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO.21682 of 2025

- 1.A.R.Ayisha Begam @ Ayesha Begam
- 2.M.Rasool B @ Rasoolbee @ Asha
- 3.M.Hussain Bi @ Usain Bee @ Anisha
- 4.Mohammed Imitiaz Yusuf @ Mohamed Yusuf

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State through the
Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.
In Crime No.33 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.M.E.Ilango

For Respondent(s):

Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

Prayer: For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.33 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners/A2, A3, A5 & A6, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498A of IPC r/w. Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the the de-facto complainant was subjected to physical and mental harassment by the petitioners in her matrimonial home. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioners are the in-laws of the de-facto complainant and it is a matrimonial dispute.



WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the petitioners are the in-laws of the de-facto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;



[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

27.11.2025

KSA



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Periyakulam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



S.SRIMATHY, J.

KSA

**ORDER MADE IN
CRL.O.P(MD)No.21682 OF 2025**

DATED :27.11.2025