



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21723 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21723 of 2025

and

CRL.M.P(MD)No.18657 of 2025

1.Jeyaram

2.Chandrasekaran

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 and 2

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Ulagampatti Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No.55 of 2019

... Respondent/Complainant

2.Banumathi

... Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code/Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the case in S.C.No.93 of 2025 on the file of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sivagangai and quash the same in respect of these petitioners/Accused No.1 and 2.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21723 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Aju Tagore
For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R-2 : Mr.A.Arputharaj

ORDER

The petitioners seek to quash the impugned charge sheet in S.C.No.93 of 2025 on the file of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sivagangai, which was filed for the offences under Section 294(b), 323, 324, 448, 436, 506(2) and 34 of IPC.

2. The allegation in the impugned final report is that the first petitioner is the son-in-law of the defacto complainant and the second petitioner is the father of the first petitioner; that on account of a dispute, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant, caused injury to her and also caused damage to her vehicle to the tune of Rs.2,500/- and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Admittedly, there are no matrimonial differences between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant's daughter. The petitioners and the second respondent have now entered into a compromise and decided to give a



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21723 of 2025

quietus to the entire dispute. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo dated 27.11.2025.

4. The petitioners and the second respondent, namely, Banumathi (Aadhar No.9735 3436 7290) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.M.Chandran, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Ulagampatti Police Station, Sivagangai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned charge sheet in in S.C.No.93 of 2025 on the file of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sivagangai, is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. The joint compromise memo dated 27.11.2025 shall form part of this order. The petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as costs to the High Court Legal Services Authority attached to this Bench forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo reporting compliance in the Registry. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21723 of 2025

28.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu

To

- 1.The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sivagangai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ulagampatti Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21723 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Indu

Crl.O.P(MD).No.21723 of 2025

28.11.2025