



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 08.12.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.21482 of 2025

1. Amalraj  
2. Arokiyamary

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of TamilNadu,  
rep. by Inspector of Police,  
Thiruvidaimarudhur Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.  
(Crime No.689 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Veerapandi

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.A.N.Ramanathan

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.689 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

**ORDER:** The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 318, 322, 336(3), 339, 340 and 198 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.689 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the grandmother of the de-facto complainant is the owner of the land in S.No.130/2013 and after her demise, the mother and the maternal aunt of the de-facto complainant, derived the property as per the Will executed by his grandmother and while so, the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner being the Village Administrative, with an intention to grab the property of the de-facto complainant, cancelled the pattas stood in the name of the ancestors of the de-facto complainant and created a forged patta in the name of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner by furnishing forged documents. The case has been registered as per the private complaint forwarded by the Jurisdictional Magistrate. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioners are serious in nature.

5.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners have misused their official capacity and have created the documents. The de facto complainant appeared as intervenor and the case of the intervenor is that he has a Will in his favor. However, it is seen that both the parties ought to prove their title based on some documents and evidence. Therefore, the parties are at liberty to approach the competent Civil Court.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvidadimarudhur, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

Section 269 of BNS.

WEB COPY

**08.12.2025**

TMG

TO

1.District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,  
Thiruvidaimarudhur.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thiruvidaimarudhur Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21482 of 2025*

**S.SRIMATHY,J**

TMG

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.21482 of 2025

Date : 08.12.2025