



W.P. CrI.(MD) NO. 2299 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI

W.P. CrI. (MD) No. 2299 of 2025

Mohammed Buhari

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur District.

2. The State of Tamil Nadu,
The Inspector of Tamil Nadu,
District Crime Branch,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, directing the Respondent No. 2 to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order in DCB C.No. 629/2025 dated 10.10.2025 issued by the Respondent No.2 and quash the same as bad in law Respondents No.2 to conduct the enquiry and take action on the petitioner's complaint lodged before the second respondent as per Lalitha Kumari dictum by considering the petitioner's representation dated 27.09.2025.



W.P. CrI.(MD) NO. 2299 of 2025

For petitioner :Mr.SMA. Jinnah
For respondents :Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed to quash the impugned order in DCB C.No.629/2025 dated 10.10.2025 issued by the second respondent and direct the second respondent to conduct the enquiry and take action on the petitioner's complaint lodged before the second respondent as per Lalitha Kumari dictum by considering the petitioner's representation dated 27.09.2025.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had given a detailed written complaint on 27.09.2025 to the Superintendent of Police, Tanjore, regarding the allegations against one Ganapathi and Seralathan. Thereafter, since the same was not acted upon, he submitted a fresh representation reiterating the earlier complaint. Despite the same, there is no response from the respondent. Hence, this case.



W.P. CrI.(MD) NO. 2299 of 2025

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar, submitted that the petitioner earlier approached this Court in W.P.CrI(MD) No.1902 of 2025. When the said matter was taken up for hearing, the respondent police had filed closure report and hence, this Court directed the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy in the manner known to law. However, without approaching the Trial Court for appropriate remedy, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition afresh.

4. Considering the order passed by this Court in W.P.CrI(MD) No.1902 of 2025, dated 29.10.2025, I do not find any merits in this Writ Petition. Hence, the petitioner is directed to work out his remedy before the appropriate court.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs

02.12.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

To
1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,



W.P. CrI.(MD) NO. 2299 of 2025

Thanjavur District.

2.The Inspector of Tamil Nadu,
District Crime Branch,
Thanjavur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P. CrI.(MD) NO. 2299 of 2025

L.VICTORIA GOWRI,J

apd

W.P. CrI. (MD) No. 2299 of 2025

02.12.2025