



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

1.Kalyani

2.Guruprasath

3.Uma Maheswari

4.Aathiraja ... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by The Inspector of Police,
Thirupparankundram Police Station,
Madurai District. ... Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.542 of 2025)

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Thirupathy,

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.542 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, 2002 in Crime No.542 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel arose between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, who are neighbours, during the time of bursting crackers for deepavali festival. At that time, the defacto complainant's wife asked the accused persons not to burn crackers in front of her house, for which the petitioner and other accused persons abused her using filthy language and assaulted her with stone and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioners have no previous cases and due to the quarrel and scuffle, the pregnancy of the defacto complainant's wife was aborted. He further submitted that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. It is a case and case in counter. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that it is a case and case in counter and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, and since the petitioners have no previous case and also considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

Magistrate Court No.VI, Madurai, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.VI, Madurai failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the second petitioner/A2 shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation; the other petitioners/A1, A3 &A4 shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

WEB COPY

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

25.11.2025

PJL

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.VI, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thirupparankundram Police Station, Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21347 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

PJL

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21347 of 2025**

Date : 25.11.2025