



CRL OP(MD). No.21120 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/11/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) . No.21120 of 2025

Ahamed Maideen ... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Pudukkottai City.
Crime No.Not Known of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Sathish Kumar P,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor/
Defacto complainant: Mr.A.Ramesh Kumar

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-24AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.Not
Known of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No.21120 of 2025

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 318(4), 316(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No. Not known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner received a sum of Rs.50,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and also certain property documents handed over during the earlier transactions were misused by the petitioner. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.21120 of 2025

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that already the defacto complainant preferred a complaint before the Chief Minister's Cell based on which the petitioner was called for enquiry and enquiry was conducted and closed. Now the defacto complainant again preferred a petition before the Superintendent of Police. He further submitted that after enquiry current paper enquiry also closed.

5. The defacto complainant appeared before this Court as Intervenor and he was heard.

6. Recording the same, this Criminal Original Petition is closed. If the defacto complainant is aggrieved, he is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum for work out his remedy in the manner known to law.

24.11.2025

PJL



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21120 of 2025

S . SRIMATHY , J

PJL

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Pudukkottai City.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

CRL OP (MD) No.21120 of 2025

Date : 24/11/2025