



W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :25.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.26625 & 26626 of 2025

Selvaraj

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

3. Nirmala

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the Impugned Order passed by the first respondent in Na.Ka.No 3610470/2025/B4 dated 07.11.2025 and second respondent's proceedings in Na.Ka.No 3782/2025/B4 dated 14.07.2025 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr. C.Jeganathan

For Respondents : Mr.P.Thambidurai
Government Advocate for R1 & R2



W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

An order dated 07.11.2025 of the District Revenue Officer confirming the earlier order dated 14.07.2025 of the Revenue Divisional Officer is challenged herein.

2. By relying on a sketch of survey No.391, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner is in occupation of land in Survey No.391/1B2B. In support of his contention, he relies upon the statement of the Village Administrative Officer as recorded in the impugned order of the District Revenue Officer. He also submits that the status of revenue records should not be altered on the basis of the order of the District Revenue Officer in favour of the third respondent.

3. Learned Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents 1 and 2. He submits that the District Revenue Officer recognised the fact that O.S.No.863 of 2025 is pending and therefore restored the revenue records in the name of Sangili Devar, subject to the outcome of the civil suit. He submits that the said position would be maintained.



W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

4. On perusal of the impugned order, I find that the District Revenue Officer was conscious of the dispute between the petitioner and the private respondent, which is pending adjudication in O.S.No.863 of 2025. Therefore, by clarifying that the order would be subject to the outcome of such suit, the revenue records were restored in the name of Sangili Devar, the original pattadar. I see no reason to interfere except to the extent of clarifying that the revenue officials shall not effect any further mutation and shall await the outcome of the civil suit.

5. This Writ Petition is disposed of on these terms. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

NCS : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

25.11.2025

To
1. The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY.,J.

apd

ORDER MADE IN

W.P(MD)No. 33729 of 2025

25.11.2025