



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

Boochi Pillai @ Poochipillai

... Petitioner /Accused No.2

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By The Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Town Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.387 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Ananda Kumar,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabhar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.387 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 191(1)(2)(3), 109, 103, 351(3) r/w 49 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.387 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 04.07.2025, when the defacto complainant's son, namely, Manoj Prabhu and his friends were riding in his Hero Splendor bike from Melur towards Pudupatti. At that time, due to previous motive and family dispute, the petitioner's son who was driving a car along with other accused persons dashed against the two wheeler of the deceased, and when they all fell down, the accused persons brutally attacked the defacto complainant's son namely, Manoj Prabhu using large swords and stabbed the deceased on his head, face, neck and hands due to which excessive bleeding and he succumbed to death. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner is the mother of the first accused. The specific overt act against the petitioner is she conspired with the accused persons to commit the murder. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the petitioner is the mother of A1 and since the specific overt act against the petitioner is she conspired with the accused persons to commit the murder, and also the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. However, this order shall not be shown for other accused while considering anticipatory bail. This order has passed only taking into consideration of the overt act against the petitioner alone.



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Sivagangai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Sivagangai, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

24.11.2025

PJL

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivagangai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Town Police Station, Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21033 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY, J.

PJL

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21033 of 2025**

Date : 24.11.2025