



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24.11.2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.21011 of 2025

Mano

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs.

State Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli City.
(Crime No.43 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Selvan

For Respondent : M/s.Aasha
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.43 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 494, 506(1) of IPC , in Crime No.43 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that A1 and the defacto complainant is husband and wife. At the time of marriage, the defacto complainant's parent gave 40 sovereigns of gold and 2 lakhs rupees as dowry. Thereafter, A1 harassed the defacto complainant and attacked her and he is having illicit intimacy with A4. The petitioner/A3 took video in his cell phone at the time of the defacto complainant close with her husband and threatened and misbehaving with her. A2 is the mother of A1. Hence, this complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has not committed any offence. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

conditions which may be imposed by this Court. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases. She further submitted that A2 being the mother of A1 was already granted anticipatory bail by the Magistrate Court itself. The petitioner/A3 is the brother of A1 and brother-in-law of the defacto complainant. The specific overt act against the petitioner is he had photographed the physical relationship of A1 and the defacto complainant and threatened and misbehaved her. Further allegation is that the accused family members has concealed the fact that A1 was already married. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



Cr.L.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b]the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Tenkasi, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders; however, the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required and cooperate for investigation;

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial. [e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

24.11.2025

PJL

TO

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli City.

3. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tenkasi.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21011 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

PJL

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21011 of 2025

Date : 24.11.2025