



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 24.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

**W.P(MD)No.33582 of 2025
and
W.M.P(MD)No.26494 of 2025**

G.Muthulakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Madurai.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Madurai.

3. The Deputy Tahsildar (Head Quarters),
Taluk Office (South),
Madurai.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent vide his Proceedings in No.2025/N103/24/019457 dated 01.11.2025 and quash the same as illegal and direct the 3rd Respondent to issue patta to the property of the petitioner in Survey No.136/8A1 to an extent of 864 sq. feet in Virathanur Village, Madurai South Taluk, Madurai.



For Petitioner : M/s.D.Senthil
For Respondents : Mr.K.Balasubramani
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

An order dated 01.11.2025 rejecting the petitioner's request for patta is challenged on the ground that the said order is non-speaking.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to order dated 13.10.2025 in W.P.(MD)No.17624 of 2023 directing the Tahsildar to consider the petitioner's request for patta and dispose of the same by a speaking order. Learned counsel submits that the impugned order has been issued contrary to the said direction.

3. Learned Special Government Pleader accepts notice for all the respondents. On the basis of instructions, he points out that the current pattadhars are Amudhayammal, Arumugam and Kandasamy. After issuing notice to them, he submits that the matter would be reconsidered and that a speaking order would be issued.

4. On perusal of the impugned order, it appears that four separate and incompatible reasons are mentioned, without particulars, in respect of the



petitioner's request for patta in respect of S.No.279/6. Therefore, the order does not satisfy the requirements of a speaking order and is, consequently, set aside.

In view thereof, the matter is remanded for reconsideration by the Tahsildar. After providing a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner and the current pattadhars, a speaking order shall be issued within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

24.11.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
RJR

To

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Madurai.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Madurai.
3. The Deputy Tahsildar (Head Quarters),
Taluk Office (South),
Madurai.



WEB COPY



SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

RJR

W.P(MD)No.33582 of 2025

24.11.2025