



CMP(MD) NO. 18834 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R. POORNIMA

CMP(MD) NO. 18834 of 2025

in

C.M.A(MD)No.1220 of 2025

R.Kayalvizhi

Petitioner(s)

Vs

J.P.Chandrasekar

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Ms.J.Anandhavalli

For Respondent(s):

Mr.K.Jayabalan

Prayer:To issue an Order of Injunction restraining the respondent from visiting the school where the children are studying and visiting the office of the appellant under the guise of right of visitation, pending disposal of the above Civil Miscellaneous Appeal and thus render justice.



ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.**)

This petition has been filed for an injunction restraining the respondent from visiting the school, where are the children are studying.

2. The Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed as against the dismissal of the divorce petition filed by the petitioner on the ground of cruelty and desertion. The petitioner married the respondent on 06.05.2011 and gave birth two children. The petitioner is working as Post Graduate Headmistress in Government Higher Secondary School, Ellavanur, Karur District and the respondent is working as Assistant Professor in the private Engineering College. While being so, they had misunderstanding and the petitioner left the matrimonial home and living separately along with her 2 children. Therefore, the petitioner filed a petition for divorce on the ground of cruelty and desertion before the Family Court, Kaur in H.M.O.P.No.472 of 2024. The said petition was dismissed by the Family Court by the judgement and decree dated 22.04.2025. Aggrieved by the said dismissal, the petitioner preferred an appeal before this Court. Pending appeal, the petitioner filed this petition for injunction restraining the respondent from visiting the school, where the children are studying. Even till today, the respondent is the husband of the petitioner. Even assuming that the petitioner was



granted divorce, the respondent is being a father of the children can visit his children without disturbing the education of the children and without causing any other disturbance to the children.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that even during school hours the respondent is visiting the children. Now-a-days any of the school permitted the parents to visit their wards during school hours, that too, in the private school. The petitioner is being a Headmistress of the School, she knows the rules and regulations of the school in respect of visiting the parents of the wards. After the school hours only the parents are permitted to visit their wards in all the schools. Further, when there is no instance that the respondent caused any disturbance to the children, the injunction sought for as against the respondent cannot be considered and it is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

4. Post the appeal for final hearing on 05.02.2026.

(G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.) (R.POORNIMA J.)
18-12-2025

am