



CRL OP(MD). No.21515 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.21515 of 2025

Senkuttuvan

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Madurai DCB Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.20 of 2025)

2. Venkateshwaran

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sivaprakash

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.20 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



The petitioner/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of IPC, in Crime No.20 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with the other accused, created a forged document. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent Police, submitted that the offences alleged against the accused are serious in nature. He further submitted that the specific overt act against the petitioner is he had created a forged Power of Attorney.



CRL OP(MD). No.21515 of 2025

Therefore, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. In the first anticipatory bail application, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail, directing the parties to settle the issue through mediation. However, the mediation was unsuccessful. Consequently, the petitioner withdrew the first application and filed the present second anticipatory bail application. Considering the above facts, the specific overt act against the petitioner that he had created a forged Power of Attorney, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

(S S Y J)
26.11.2025

jbr



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.21515 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J.

jbr

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Madurai DCB Police Station,
Madurai District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.21515 of 2025

Date : 26.11.2025