



CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/11/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

Chithirai Kamalraj @ Maria Chithirai Kamalraj ... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep B,
Y Inspector of Police,, All Women
Police Station Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.58 of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. B.N.Raja Mohamed
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E. Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 58 of 2025 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/5



CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 9(1) r/w. 10, 11(1) r/w. 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and u/s. 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.58 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein is said to have inflicted sexual harassment upon the victim minor girl, aged about 14 years. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that already that there was a money transaction between the victim's mother and the petitioner and that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Heard he learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.



CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. In this case for anticipatory bail, the victim is aged about 14 years. It has already been revealed that there was a relationship between the victim's mother and the petitioner, and the victim's statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. clearly states the act of the petitioner. Though the petitioner contends that there was a prior money transaction and that, while he had gone to collect the money, a false complaint was lodged against him, the victim's statement narrates the incident clearly and does not support the case of the petitioner. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(S S Y J)
24.11.2025
2/2

TRP



CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

TO

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20177 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TRP

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.20177 of 2025

Date : 24/11/2025