



C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

and

C.M.P.(MD).No.18470 of 2025

1.NARMATHA

2. VINOTHKANNAN

3. RAMBABU

4. MALATHI

5. NITHYA

6. SRINATH

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. Pandiselvi

2.Lavanya

Through her power agent of
Tr.Shanmugasundaram

3. Aiswarya

4. The Sub Registrar

Sub Registrar's Office

Velipattinam

Vandikkara Street

Ramanathapuram Town

Ramanathapuram.

5. The District Registrar

Vandikkara Street

Ramanathapuram Town

Ramanathapuram.

1/4



C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

6. The State
Secretariat Chennai
Represented through the District Collector
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the fair and decreetal order passed in I.A.No.11/2024 in O.S.No.35/2022 dated 03.01.2025 on the file of the Principle District Judge, Ramanathapuram and allow the above Civil Revision Petition and pass such other orders as this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner : M/s.A.S.Rajeswari

For Respondents : No appearance

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed seeking to set aside the fair and decreetal order passed in I.A.No.11/2024 in O.S.No.35/2022 dated 03.01.2025 on the file of the Principle District Judge, Ramanathapuram.

2. An application was filed seeking to implead the official respondents as parties in the suit on the ground that the first defendant had executed a settlement deed in favour of the other defendants. In a suit for partition, the proposed respondents are not necessary or proper parties, as rightly held by the court below. If the petitioners want to restrain the defendants from alienating the property in any manner, it is



C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

open to him to file the necessary application for an injunction against the defendants. In the absence of such necessity, impleading the official respondents is unwarranted, as they are neither necessary nor proper parties to the suit. The trial court has, therefore, rightly rejected the petitioners' application.

3. In view of the same, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed.

No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

18.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
gvn



WEB COPY



C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

K.KUMARESH BABU,J.

gvn

To

1. The Principle District Judge,
Ramanathapuram
2. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

C.R.P(MD)No.3389 of 2025

18.11.2025