



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **13.11.2025**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH**
AND

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.25653 of 2025

K.Govindaraju

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1. The Director of Town and Country Planning,
O/o. Directorate of Town And
Country Planning Authority,
807, Anna Salai,
Chennai 600 002.

2. The District collector,
Karur District,
Karur.

2. The Commissioner,
Karur Corporation,
Karur, Karur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER:- Writ Petition - filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records pertaining to

1/12



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

the impugned Notice dated 17.10.2025 in Proceedings in Na.Ka. No. 8110/2015/F1 on the file of the 3rd respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Rama Guru
For Respondents : Mr.M.Sarangan (R1 & R2)
Additional Government Pleader
Mr.R.Baskaran (R3)
Additional Advocate General
for Mr.K.Balasubramani
Standing Counsel

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.**)

We have heard Mr.S.Rama Guru, learned Counsel, who appears for the petitioner, Mr.M.Sarangan, learned Additional Government Pleader for R1 & R2 and Mr.R.Baskaran, learned Additional Advocate General, who appears on behalf of Mr.K.Balasubramani, learned Standing Counsel for R3.

2. The prayer is for a certiorari, challenging an order dated 17.10.2025 passed by the Municipal Commissioner, Karur District.

2/12



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. The matter has a chequered history. The Association had filed a writ petition in WP(MD)No.2895 of 2011, wherein the prayer was for a mandamus, directing the official respondents to remove the unauthorized developments found in areas reserved for public purpose in SF Nos.135,136,137,138,140,141,146,151,152,153,154,155&160 in Vengamedu Region, Inam Karur Town, Karur District ('properties'/'properties in question').


4. The learned counsel would accede to the position that there is an identity between the properties being the subject matter of the earlier writ petition and the present writ petition.

5. An order was passed in that writ petition on 04.04.2016, wherein after recording rival contentions, the learned Judge rendered two categorical findings at para 10, which reads as follows:-

'(a) that plots in the land earmarked for public purposes have been and are being sold indiscriminately on the specious plea that the layout is unapproved and

(b) every one of the respondents in now washing away their hands prompting the purchasers as well as people, who put up constructions, to seek regularization.'

6. In summary, the findings rendered at para 22 of the order dated 04.04.2016 are extracted below:-



view

(ii) that there has been an attempt on the part of the respondents 1 to 3 to suppress the factum approval of layout and to mislead this Court as though there was only a technical approval

(iv) that in order to overreach the orders of this Court passed in two earlier writ petitions and in order to overcome to present writ petition, the Municipal Council had passed two resolutions during the pendency of this writ petition seeking to regularize the unauthorized construction and

7. That writ petition was disposed on 04.04.2016, with the

'27. Hence, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:

4/12



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

by the second respondent, is hereby constituted.

(ii) Within two weeks of receipt of a copy of this order, the first respondent shall nominate an officer of the rank of Director of Town and Country Planning, who has a track record as an officer of high integrity.

Similarly, the second respondent District Collector shall also nominate an officer of the rank of Revenue Divisional Officer and an officer of the rank of Assistant Director of Survey and Land Records within two weeks of the receipt of the copy of this order.

(iii) The Committee so constituted shall take into possession, within two weeks of the constitution, all the records available in the offices of the respondents 1 to 3 or in the offices of any one of the Assistant Directors or Deputy Directors of Town and Country Planning, which relate to the layout in question.

(iv) Thereafter, the Committee shall conduct a local inspection of the lands in S.F.Nos. 135, 136, 137, 138, 140, 141, 146, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155 and 160 in Vengamedu region, Inam Karur Town, Karur District with the help of Town/Municipal Surveyors, Village Administrative Officers and Revenue Inspectors and submit a report.

(v) The report shall indicate

(a) the lands earmarked for public purposes as per the approved layout

(b) the details of lands sold by the promoters/original owners, from out of the lands earmarked for public purposes

(c) the details of persons, who have purchased the lands

(d) the details of buildings constructed at such lands along with an indication whether building plan approvals have



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

been obtained or not

(e) the details of the elected representatives of the third respondent Municipality, who ha purchased lands in their own names or in the names of their close relatives and the details of construction put up by them

(vi) The report as indicated above shall be submitted by the Committee within a period of three months from the date of constitution of the Committee

(vii) Till the Committee submits its report, the concerned Sub-Registrar/District Registrar shall not allow registration of any documents relating to the lands in the above survey numbers, unless the Committee issues a no objection certificate that such a land does not form part of the land earmarked for public purposes

(viii) Till the Committee files its report, the Municipality shall not accord any building plan approval, on the land earmarked for public purposes, except with a no objection certificate issued by the Committee

(ix) Till the Committee submits its report, no electricity connection shall be given to the buildings that have come up recently on the lands earmarked for public purposes and

(x) The third respondent Municipality is also injuncted from granting regularization to unauthorized constructions and the constructions put up on the lands earmarked for public purposes.

There will be no order as to costs.'

8. Thereafter, the writ petition filed by the Association in WP(MD)No.2895 of 2011 came up for compliance and was heard along with Cont.P.(MD)No.1487 of 2019 and disposed by an order dated 6/12



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

26.06.2023. The order of the Division Bench is crisp and extracted below:-

WEB COPY

“Pursuant to the orders of the Division Bench dated 04.04.2016, the committee has inspected the property in question and has filed a report.

2. It is the claim of the impleaded respondents that there is no final sanctioned plan and the lands that did not belong to either the Society or the original promoter, were made a part of the lay out. If that be so, then the original sanction itself would suffer from irregularity and the title that is now set up by the impleaded parties cannot be gone into by this Court.

3. We, therefore, direct the Director of Town and Country Planning, Chennai, in collaboration with the Commissioner of Karur Municipal Corporation, to initiate appropriate action for restoration of the area that is earmarked for public purposes measuring an extent of 12.97 acres. While doing so, the Director of Town and Country Planning and the Commissioner of Karur Municipal Corporation shall ensure that the original promoter or the Society which had submitted plans for approval had title to the properties that were included as a part of the entire lay out, particularly, to the land that was shown as reserved for public purposes. If it is found that the promoter or the Society had included lands which did not belong to them in the lay out, such lands will stand excluded and the authorities cannot enforce the lay out conditions on such lands which did not belong to the promoter who had formed the lay out.

4. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observation. In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, the contempt petition is closed. The above exercise shall be carried out within a period of six months from the date of service of the copy of the order on the Director of Town and



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

WEB COPY

Country Planning, Chennai. The persons who are claiming rival title shall be heard by the Director before orders are passed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.”

9. This now brings us to the present impugned notice / order, while it is the case of the petitioner that no notice has been issued to them prior to passing the impugned order.

10. The learned Additional Advocate General would submit that no notice was required to be given and it is for the petitioner to have approached the authorities with requisite documents establishing his title. He also submits on instructions that some of the parties are before the Civil Court agitating their title for the properties that are the subject matter of this writ petition.

11. The learned Additional Advocate General would justify passing of the impugned order even without notice, drawing our attention to the order dated 04.10.2025 in Cont.P.(MD)No.1897 of 2024, wherein the Bench has adjourned the matter to 31.10.2025 directing the second respondent therein to initiate proceedings and comply with the order of this Court. According to him, the impugned notice has been issued solely as a measure to comply with the order dated 26.06.2023 and also



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

the directions under order dated 14.10.2025.

WEB COPY

12. In any event, as a measure of resolving the matter, learned Additional Advocate General would suggest that the impugned order be taken as notice, drawing our attention to proviso under Section 128 of the Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Act, 1998 ('Act').

13. Section 128 of the Act deals with the power to remove encroachments from public places, which provides for issuance of a show cause notice granting 15 days for reply.

14. Admittedly, such notices has not been issued in the present case. Hence, without expressing any opinion on whether the order dated 26.06.2023 casts a burden either on the petitioner or the authorities to take things forward, it would suffice for us to permit the petitioner to file response treating the impugned order as notice under section 128 (1)(b) of the Act, within a week from today, whereupon the authorities shall adhere to the procedure set out under the Act thereafter.

15. Though the notice has been issued by R3, both the learned counsel would accede to the position that they may appear before R1 / the Director of Town and Country Planning, Chennai, as per the



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

directions under order dated 26.06.2023.

WEB COPY

16. In order to facilitate the closure of the matter that has been hanging fire since 2016, we fix the date of hearing as **17.11.2025**, on which date, the petitioner shall appear before R1 / the Director of Town and Country Planning, Chennai, at **11:00 am**, without anticipating any notice in this regard. He shall be granted audience accompanied by copies of his replies and also supporting documents in respect his title and orders shall be passed within a period of two weeks thereafter in accordance with law.

17. With the above directions, these writ petitions are disposed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[A.S.M.J.] & [C.K.J.]
13.11.2025
(6/9)

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes
sm

Note: **Issue order copy on 13.11.2025.**

10/12



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

WEB COURT

- TO:-**
1. The Director of Town and Country Planning,
O/o. Directorate of Town And
Country Planning Authority,
807, Anna Salai,
Chennai 600 002.
 2. The District collector,
Karur District,
Karur.
 2. The Commissioner,
Karur Corporation,
Karur, Karur District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025

DR.ANITA SUMANTH, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

sm

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.32561 of 2025
(6/9)

Dated:
13.11.2025