



CRL OP(MD). No.20226 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 17.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP(MD). No.20226 of 2025

1. Saravanaperumal
2. Sathurapan
3. Muthulakshmi
4. Muthumarisamy
5. Subbammal

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police,
AWPS - Vilathikulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.27/2025).

2. Karuppasamy
3. Deivakani

... Respondents

PRAYER :- This Petition is filed under Section 528 BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned FIR in Crime No.27 of 2025 dated 24.10.2025 on the file of the 1st respondent police and to quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Prabu,

For Respondents : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1
: Mr.M.Iniyavan for R3



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.20226 of 2025

ORDER

The petitioners have sought for quashing of the impugned F.I.R in Crime No.27 of 2025 registered for the offences 9 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

2. The gist of the allegation is that the first petitioner married the victim girl when she was 17 years old and thus committed the aforesaid offence. On the complaint given by the Village Administrative Officer, the impugned F.I.R has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the victim has now married the 1st petitioner and the marriage between the victim and the 1st petitioner would be soon registered and that the victim does not wish to pursue this case.

4. The complaint has been lodged by the 2nd respondent, who is the Village Administrative Officer. Since the marriage took place when the victim was 17 years old, the Village Administrative Officer was justified in lodging a complaint. However, it is seen that the victim girl, even



CRL OP(MD), No.20226 of 2025

after she attained majority, is willing to marry the 1st petitioner and does not wish to pursue the case. Hence, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in continuing the investigation in the FIR.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the victim/the third respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise and both the parties sought for quashing of the impugned F.I.R.

6. The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo on 13.11.2025. The petitioner and the third respondent/ victim are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Ms.G.Nagajothi, WSSI, AWPS, Vilathikulam, Thoothukudi District. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

7. Considering the above facts and in view of the compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by continuing the prosecution.



CRL OP(MD), No.20226 of 2025

9. Therefore, this Court is inclined to quash the impugned FIR on the condition that the marriage between the 1st petitioner and the victim girl is registered. The second respondent shall issue necessary certificate to enable the said marriage to be registered. If the marriage is not registered within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the order now passed by this Court would stand vacated without reference to this Court.

10. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

17.11.2025

Internet : Yes/No

NCC : Yes/ No

LS

Note: Issue order copy on 18.11.2025



CRL OP(MD). No.20226 of 2025

TO
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
AWPS, Vilathikulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
2. Karuppasamy,
Village Administrative Officer,
Sankaralingapuram, Vilathikulam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.20226 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J

LS

CRL OP(MD) No.20226 of 2025

17.11.2025