



CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

Pon Rajesh

. ..Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Vadipatti,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.330 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Palani Velayutham
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.R.S.Sivaram

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482
of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.330 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / Accused who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 191(2), 191(3), 324(4), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 303(2) (NP) of BNS and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998, in Crime No.330 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail,

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons had trespassed into the Aashram of the defacto complainant and damaged the Aashram Properties and also assaulted the employee of the defacto complainant and criminally intimidated them. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is not present at the scene of the occurrence, and he was falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any



CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioner has involved in very serious offence and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor/defacto complainant submitted that the petitioner along with other accused persons had attacked the defacto complainant and other, due to which, they sustained injuries. He further submitted that the petitioner is the kingpin of the said incidents. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner has involved in very serious offence and the investigation is at initial stage. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

(S S Y J)
11.11.2025

msrm

To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate,
Vadipatti, Madurai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Vadipatti,
Madurai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19889 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19889 of 2025**

11.11.2025