



CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date :18.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025

1.Manikandan
2.Subash Chadraboss
3.Karan

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.7,6&8

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by, the Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.244 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr. M.Vivek

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.244 of 2025 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2), 333, 127(7), 311, 351(3) and 332(b) of BNS, in Crime No.244 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant during night time and attacked the defacto complainant and her husband and tied the children using green fencing material and sealed their mouth using brown cello tape and threatened them with weapons and robbed their ear rings and other gold jewels totally 9 ½ sovereigns of gold and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- cash and also made life threat. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioners are having previous cases of similar in nature. He further submitted that the anticipatory bail applications filed by the co-accused were already dismissed and the investigation is almost completed. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of offence, and also the petitioners are having previous cases similar in nature and the anticipatory bail applications filed by the co-accused are already dismissed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

18.11.2025

PJL
To
1. The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Trichy District.

3/4



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

PJL

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.20413 of 2025**

18.11.2025