



Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.231 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :20.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

REV.APLW(MD)No.231 of 2025

in

W.P(MD)No.20334 of 2025

M.Sriramar

.. Petitioner/Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Registrar
Office of the State Registrar of Coop Societies
110, E.V.R.High Road
Kilpauk, Chennai 600 010

2. Regional Joint Registrar of Co-op Societies
Virudhunagar Region
Office of the District Collector Campus
Virudhunagar
Virudhunagar District.

3. P.Senthilkumar

4. Co-operative Sub Registrar
Virudhunagar Rural
Office of the District Collector Campus
Virudhunagar.

5. Nagarajan

.. Respondents/Respondents

PRAYER:Review Application has been filed under Order 47 Rule 1 &
114 of Code of Civil Procedure against the order passed in W.P.No.20334
of 2025, dated 09.09.2025.



Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.231 of 2025

For Petitioner :Mr.M.Ezhilarasu

For Respondents :Mr.F.Deepak
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This review application is filed for review of the order passed by this Court in W.P(MD)No.20334 of 2025 dated 09.09.2025.

2. The present Review Application had been filed claiming that the petitioner/applicant is not a stranger and he is also an employee of the first respondent. The petitioner/applicant had made a complaint against the third and fifth respondents by substantiated facts for taking disciplinary proceedings. As no disciplinary proceedings was instituted pursuant to the direction issued by this Court in considering the representation of the petitioner, the petitioner/applicant had challenged the same before this Court wherein this Court holding that the petitioner/applicant is a stranger and not connected with any service disputes, he is not entitled for the relief. He would reiterate that the petitioner/applicant is not a stranger and therefore, he seeks this Court to review the order passed by this Court dated 09.09.2025 in W.P.(MD).No.20334 of 2025.



Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.231 of 2025

3. I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

4. Even if the petitioner is an employee who had made a complaint against the third and fifth respondents, it is to be seen that it is prerogative of the employer to take action on the alleged complaint made by the petitioner/applicant. This Court had applied the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Ranjith Prasad vs. Union of India & Ors.***, reported in ***AIR 2000 SC 3469***, in dismissing the Writ Petition.

5. For the aforesaid reasons, this Court do not find any material error in the order made in W.P.(MD).No.20334 of 2025 dated 09.09.2025, to entertain the present review application. Accordingly, this Review application is dismissed. No costs.

20.11.2025

gvn

Index:Yes/No

Internet:Yes/No



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.231 of 2025

K.KUMARESH BABU, J

gvn

REV.APLW(MD)No.231 of 2025
in
W.P(MD)No.20334 of 2025

20.11.2025