



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20058 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.11.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P(MD)No.20058 of 2025

1.Praveenkumar @ Praveen,
S/o.Murugesan

2.Murugesan,
S/o.Vairavan

3.Sumathi,
W/o.Murugesan

... Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.132 of 2023)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Geetha,
W/o.Murugapandi

... 2nd Respondent/De-facto
Complainant

3.XXX

... 3rd Respondent/Victim

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned Charge Sheet in P.R.C.No.51 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District and to quash the same as illegal.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20058 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Arputharaj,
Advocate

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

For R2 : M/s.C.Sivakodi,
Advocate

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to quash the impugned final report in P.R.C.No.51 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, which was filed for the offences under Section 366 of the IPC.

2. The allegation in the final report is that the petitioners had abducted the victim girl when she was sixteen years old, and thus committed the aforesaid offence.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the 1st petitioner and the victim girl, whose date of birth is 01.02.2008, had a love affair, and that the victim girl voluntarily went to the house of the 1st petitioner; that the 2nd and 3rd petitioners are the parents of the 1st petitioner; that the victim girl has not attained majority; that she does not wish to pursue the case any further against the petitioners; that the parties have

2/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20058 of 2025

now arrived at a compromise; and that they have filed a joint compromise memo dated 12.11.2025.

4. The petitioners, the de-facto complainant/the mother of the victim girl, and the victim girl are present before this Court in person, and they are identified by M/s.Muthalagu, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram Police Station, Ramanathapuram District. All parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them, and the victim girl herself stated that she voluntarily went to the house of the petitioners and in any case, she does not wish to pursue the impugned proceedings. The de-facto complainant, who is the mother of the victim girl, also does not wish to pursue the case any further.

5. Considering the fact that the victim girl initially had a love affair with the 1st petitioner, that she does not wish to pursue the case any further, and in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned proceedings pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report, in P.R.C.No.51 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, is quashed. The petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as costs to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre attached to this Bench forthwith and file a photocopy of the receipt along with a memo



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20058 of 2025

reporting compliance in the Registry. The joint compromise memo dated 12.11.2025 shall form part of this order.

WEB COPY

6. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

19.11.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
mkn/dk

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20058 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

mkn/dk

Crl.O.P(MD)No.20058 of 2025

19.11.2025