



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **06.11.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD) NO. 19538 of 2025

P.Ramselva @ Selvavignesh
..Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State Of Tamilnadu,
Rep By The Inspector Of Police,
Cinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.531 of 2025)

Respondent (s)

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr.S.Malaikani

For Respondent(s) :

Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.531 of 2025
on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioner/Sole Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 196(1), 353(2) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.531 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner spread a news on face book stating that the defacto complainant running a sallun in the locality brain washing the people coming to the shop for religious conversion and people from Hindu Munnani issuing life threat to the defacto complainant. Hence, a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He,



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

however, submitted that the petitioner is willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submitted that there are thirteen previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that the defacto complainant has placed some books containing messages against Hindus and the deities which would hurt the religious sentiments of the Hindus. Also has propagated religious conversion. The petitioner is offended and hurt by the said messages in the books which was placed in the saloon and the act of the defacto complainant. Hence the petitioner has posted a message in the facebook. Infact the defacto complainant has instigated the religious



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

disharmony by placing the books which contains messages against non-Muslims especially Hindus.

6. The respondent prosecution is directed to initiate action against the defacto complainant for the instigating act of creating religious disharmony and for the act of conversion and proceed as per law.

7. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence and inspite of thirteen previous cases pending against the petitioner, in the present case the petitioner's post is only reaction to the instigating act of the defacto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

in the event of arrest or on his appearance,
within a period of fifteen days from the date of
receipt of a copy of this order, before the
learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, on
condition that the petitioner shall execute a
bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten
Thousand only) with sureties each for a like sum
to the satisfaction of the respondent police or
the police officer who intends to arrest or to
the satisfaction of the learned Judicial
Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, failing which, the
petition for anticipatory bail shall stand
dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall
affix their photographs and left thumb impression
in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain
a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to
ensure their identity;



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

(b) the petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam. In the event of any change in his residential address, the petitioner shall report the same to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. For a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(g) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

06.11.2025

vsg

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam.

2.The Inspector Of Police,
Cinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19538 of 2025

S. SRIMATHY. J. ,

vsg

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.19538 of 2025

Date : 06.11.2025