



CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05/11/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025

P.Mathews Naveenkumar,
S/o.Palu Richard

... Petitioner/A4

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
SS Colony Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.591 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Shakul Hameed,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.591 of 2025 on the file of
the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A4, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 329(4), 296(b), 131, 324(4), 49, and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.591 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused persons trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and assaulted him, damaged the household articles and taken 3 sovereign of gold chain and also abused him using filthy language and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases. The specific overtact against the petitioner is that he instigated the other accused to commit the crime and monitoring the occurrence through mobile phone. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that though the petitioner is having no previous cases, the specific overtact against the petitioner is that he instigated the other accused persons to commit the crime and he was monitoring the occurrence through his mobile phone, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

05.11.2025

PJL

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.5, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
SS Colony Police Station, Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

3/4



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

PJL

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.19373 of 2025**

05.11.2025