



W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :05.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.24472 & 24473 of 2025

Sakthivel

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2. The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings Doc No.NIL Dated Nil and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and violation of Principles of Natural Justice and consequently, direct the respondents to issue the Patta in the petitioner name in connection with survey No.486/3 Ares, to an extent of 0.23.50 Ares, Survey No.486/4 to an extent of 0.20.50 Ares, Survey No.486/6 to an extent of 0.11.50 Ares, Survey No.486/10 to an extent of 0.5.00 Ares, Survey No.486/11 to an extent of 0.9.50 Ares and Survey No.440/15B to an extent of 0.11.50 ares situated at Aalvanpatti, Udaiyalipatti Village, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukkottai District, based on the petitioner's online application in Application No.2024/0103/22/306893 dated 09.08.2024.



W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr. G.Mathavan
For Respondents : Mr.B.Saravanan
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The rejection of the request for patta transfer is impugned in this Writ Petition on the ground that such order is unreasoned.

2. Learned Additional Government Pleader accepts notice for both the respondents. On the basis of written instructions, he submits that the petitioner's claim for patta transfer is based on a 'Will' and that there are other legal heirs of the petitioner's sister.

3. The respondents cannot add to the impugned order in course of these proceedings. The said order is required to speak for itself. On perusal, the only reason assigned for rejection is “Approved”. Whether the word “Approved” is code for something else cannot be discerned from the order. In any event, the order is completely unreasoned and cannot be sustained.



W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

4. Therefore, the impugned order is set aside and the matter is remanded for reconsideration. After providing a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner, a speaking order shall be issued within three (3) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. This Writ Petition is allowed on these terms. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

NCS : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

05.11.2025

To
1. The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

2. The Tahsildar,
Kulathur Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY.,J.

apd

ORDER MADE IN

W.P(MD)No. 31277 of 2025

05.11.2025