



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.11.2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

1.Suriya

2.K.Thangaraj

3,T.Samythurai

4.M.Deepan

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep By The Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.143 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Ms.P.Rohini,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

For Intervener : Mr.K.C.Ramalingam

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.143 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 324(4), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) BNS, 2023 in Crime No.143 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners claim right over the subject land on the strength of a sale deed executed in their favour in the year 2002. However, the defacto complainant claims right based on an agreement of sale allegedly executed on 07.08.1984. Subsequently, the husband of Ummal Regina Beevi is stated to have sold the same property to the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the petitioners purchased the disputed property in 2002 from Ummal Regina Beevi. In the meantime, a dispute arose between the parties, during which the petitioners allegedly damaged the fence and attacked the defacto complainant, causing injuries. Hence, the present complaint.



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are no way connected with the alleged occurrence as stated by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-Police opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of offence this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Mudukulathur, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Mudukulathur, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]* and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS, 2023.

(S S Y J)
21.11.2025

KSA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval, Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

S.SRIMATHY, J.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19184 of 2025

KSA

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19184 of 2025**

Date : 21.11.2025