



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31.10.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.19055 of 2025

1. Vetriselvan

2. Manoj

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu

Represented by

The Inspector of Police,

CCB, Madurai City.

(Crime No.63 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Govindarajan

For Mr.V.Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj

Government Advocate (Crl.Sid)

For Intervenor : Mr.Althaf Sheriff

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS Act
2023.

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.63 of 2025 on the file of
the Respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 336(2), 336(3) and 340 of BNS 2023 r/w Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Charging of Exorbitant Interest Act 2003, in Crime No.63 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant had borrowed a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- from the 1st petitioner on behalf of one Indira. Thereafter, the said Indira was absconded. However, the defacto complainant had paid more than 18 Lakhs to the 1st petitioner. Further, the petitioners had demanded more money and threatened the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the 1st petitioner had filed a suit in O.S.No.700 of 2025 on the file of the II Additional Sub Court, Madurai, for recovery of money against the defacto complainant.



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

He further submitted that A2/co-accused had already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.OP(MD).No.18899 of 2025 dated 29.10.2025. He further submitted that the petitioners are willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the Intervenor/defacto complainant submitted that the petitioners had cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that there was a loan transaction between the parties and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurai, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the 1st petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The 2nd petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

(S S Y J)
31.10.2025

msrm
To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Madurai City.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

msrm

**ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). No.19055 of 2025**

31.10.2025