



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07/11/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

1. R. Manikandan
2. T.Tamilamuthan
3. S.Periyasamy
4. P.Harisuthan
5. D.Gowtham Dhanasekar
6. P.Anbumani
7. K.Senthilkumar
8. K.Subramani

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by
Inspector of Police, Karur,
Karur Town Police Station,
Karur District.
Cr.No. 864 of 2025.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners	: Mr. Pandi P, Advocate.
For Respondent	: Mr.Veerakathiravan, Additional Advocate General assisted by Mr.S.S. Manoj Government Advocate (Crl. side)



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.864 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 127(2), 296(b), 115(2), 127(2), 324(b) of BNS, 2023 @ 191(2), 127(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Section 3 of the Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992 in Crime No.864 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.09.2025, when the meeting of the political party viz., Tamizhaga Vetri Kazhagam was conducted, there was a stampede at Karur District in Velusamipuram, Karur – Erode Highway, which was attended by thousands of people, who had gathered for the said political meeting. It is alleging that at that



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

time, when the defacto complainant was helping the affected people, the petitioners and other accused persons assaulted the ambulance driver using hands and also smashed the rear windshield of the ambulance. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that co-accused have already been released on bail by this Court and further the petitioners are ready and willing to abide by any conditions which may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Advocate General vehemently opposed for granting anticipatory bail stating that the petition seeking custodial interrogation is going to be filed before the Concerned Magistrate.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that co-accused have already been released on bail, this Court is



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the Town Police Station, Salem daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

interrogation before the Investigating Officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

(S S Y J)
07.11.2025

TRP

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur
2. Inspector of Police,
Karur, Karur Town Police Station,
Karur District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.19050 of 2025

S.SRIMATHY,J

TRP

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19050 of 2025

Date : 07/11/2025