BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 03.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.31130 of 2025
and
W.M.P(MD)No.24374 of 2025

Tvl.JEYARANI,
Represented by its Proprietor
G.Jeyarani,
33/50, West Ponnagaram Main Road,
Mathi Theatre Area,
Madurai – 625 016. ... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State Tax Officer (Investigation),
Survey Unit,
Madurai – 625 020.

2.The Deputy Commissioner (S.T),
Madurai (East),
Madurai.

3.The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank,
41, Visvasapuri I Street Ganavolipuram,
Madurai – 625 016. ... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the respondent vide his order in GSTIN : 33AFUPJ1467K1Z1 /2017-18 dated 29.12.2023 and quash the same as it is illegal, without jurisdiction and in gross violation of Principles of Natural Justice and further direct the respondents to defreeze the Account No : 813435326 of the petitioner in Indian Bank, Gnavolipuram Branch, Madurai.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Naveenkumar

For Respondent : Mr.J.K.Jeyaselan
Government Advocate
for R.1 & R.2

Mr.N.Dilipkumar for R.3

ORDER

The petitioner is before this Court challenging the impugned assessment order dated 29.12.2023 for the assessment year 2017- 2018. This writ petition has been filed long after the assessment order has been passed.

2.It is noticed that the petitioner has not replied to the notices that preceded the impugned order. Ordinarily this Court may have intervened by putting the petitioner to terms with the consistent view followed by this Court.

3.However, It is noticed that a detailed order has recently been passed by the Principal Bench of this Court in a batch of cases in ***M/s.Tata Play Limited vs. Union of India and others***, reported in ***2025 (7) TMI 772***, wherein the notification issued under Section 168 A of the Act has been quashed with certain directions. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:

> *"**10. Conclusion**:*
>
> *i) The authorities under the CGST Act shall have the benefit of exclusion of the period 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022, while reckoning limitation under sub section (2) and (10) to Section 73 of CGST Act, in terms of the of the Supreme Court dated 10.01.2022 passed under Article 142 of the Constitution.*
>
> *ii) Notification Nos.9 and 56 of 2023 stands vitiated and illegal for the following reasons:*

a) It results in diminishing / curtailing the limitation which was otherwise available in view of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court under Article 142 of Constitution, and thus contrary to the object of Section 168A of CGST Act.

b) It proceeds on an erroneous assumption of the limitation available and a misconception as to the scope and effect of the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court under Article 142 of Constitution. The impugned notification made on an erroneous assumption of the position in law is unsustainable on the ground of being arbitrary.

c) The impugned notification results in extinguishing vested right of action with the authorities under CGST Act by diminishing the limitation thus suffers from the vice of arbitrariness.

d) The impugned notification is issued on the basis of recommendation made without examining relevant materials discussed supra and thus stands vitiated.

e) In addition to the above reasons, impugned notification No.56/2023 is made even prior to the recommendations of the GST Council, failure to comply with the statutory mandate renders the notification illegal.

f) The impugned notification no.56/2023 is issued on the basis of the recommendations of GIC which cannot be a substitute for GST Council and thus stands vitiated."

4.The said order has been followed by this Court in several cases including the order passed in W.P.(MD)No.19943 of 2025 vide order dated 23.07.2025.

5.In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of by quashing the impugned assessment order and the case is remitted back to the respondents to pass fresh order on merits.

6.The order to be passed by the respondents would abide by the outcome of the case now pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***HCC-SEW-MEIL-AAG JV Vs. Assistant Commissioner of State Tax (S.L.P.No.4240 of 2025)***. The attachment effected on the petitioner's bank account is directed to be lifted forthwith and without any delay.

7.This Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

03.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
MGA

To

1.The State Tax Officer (Investigation),
Survey Unit,
Madurai – 625 020.

2.The Deputy Commissioner (S.T),
Madurai (East),
Madurai.

3.The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank,
41, Visvasapuri I Street Ganavolipuram,
Madurai – 625 016.

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

MGA

W.P(MD)No.31130 of 2025

03.11.2025